Appl. No. 10/607,025

Amdt. dated February 15, 2005

Reply to Office Action of October 8, 2004

<u>REMARKS</u>

The Examiner is thanked for the courtesies extended to Applicants'

undersigned attorney during the February 7, 2005 telephone interview. The above-identified

amendments were discussed in view of the following remarks. Reconsideration of this

application and the rejection of claims 1-4, 6-8, 10, 12-15 and 20-22 are respectfully

requested. Applicants have attempted to address every objection and ground for rejection in

the Office Action dated October 8, 2004 (Paper No. 20040929) and believe the application is

now in condition for allowance. The claims have been amended to more clearly describe the

present invention.

Applicants recognize the Examiner's designation of the withdrawal of claims 5,

9, 11 and 16-19. However, as amended, independent claims 1, 21 and 22 are considered

generic, and are submitted to be in allowable form. As such, the species requirement is

respectfully submitted to be moot.

Claims 1-4, 8, 10, 12, 13, 15, 21 and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

as being anticipated by US Patent No. 3,827,016 to Knapp. Knapp discloses a mixing valve

in which water passing through each of the hot and cold inlets 6 and 7 pass through a

respective opening 8, 9 into separate chambers within a cavity 10 of the body 1. From the

chambers the separated flows of hot and cold water each migrate individually into a mixing

chamber.

11

Copied from 10607205 on 03/01/2006

Appl. No. 10/607,025

Amdt. dated February 15, 2005

Reply to Office Action of October 8, 2004

Claim 1 recites, among other things, features of claim 3, which the Examiner

has indicated overcomes the rejection based on Knapp. In addition, claims 21 and 22 have

been amended to recite, among other things, that each said multi-stage plenum chamber has

an outer chamber communicating with one of the inlets, an inner chamber associated with

porting of the valve means, and at least one opening for water to enter the inner chamber

axially spaced from the porting. In view of the failure, of Knapp, to disclose or suggest such

structure, the rejection based on Knapp is respectfully traversed.

Claims 6 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable

over Knapp. The Examiner acknowledges the lack in Knapp of the recitation of the shape of

the opening 21 and the dimensions of the mixing chamber. However, claims 6 and 14

depend, directly or indirectly from claim 1, which is submitted to be allowable over Knapp.

Accordingly, the rejection of claims 6 and 14 is respectfully traversed.

Claim 20 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over a

combination of Knapp and US Patent No. 6,454,175 to Lorch. The arguments asserted above

traversing Knapp are reasserted here. Lorch is cited for teaching a mixing valve in the form

of a cartridge. However, neither Knapp nor Lorch, whether taken alone or in combination,

disclose or suggest the structure recited in claim 1, from which claim 20 ultimately depends.

Accordingly, the rejection of claim 20 is respectfully traversed.

In view of the above amendments, the application is respectfully submitted to

be in allowable form. Allowance of the rejected claims is respectfully requested. Should the

12

Copied from 10607205 on 03/01/2006

Appl. No. 10/607,025

Amdt. dated February 15, 2005

Reply to Office Action of October 8, 2004

Examiner discover there are remaining issues which may be resolved by a telephone interview, he is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.

By

Lawrence J. Crair

Registration No. 31,497

Customer No. 24978

February 15, 2005 Suite 2500 300 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606-6501

Telephone: (312) 360-0080 Facsimile: (312) 360-9315